

|                                             |                        |                     |  |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                                             | 10/629,154             | LEE ET AL.          |  |
|                                             | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                                             | Phallaka Kik           | 2825                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Phallaka Kik. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Grant J. Scott (Reg. No. 36,925). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 June 2006

**Time:** 2:24PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1-38

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a message on Applicant's Representative voice machine because Applicant's Representative was not available at the time, indicating that the claims are subjected to restriction requirements. Since Applicant's Representative did not return phone in the time allotted, a written restriction will be sent out so that Applicant have more time to consider the restriction. .